RECEIVED SERVED ON FILED ENTERED COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD CHARLES LA BELLA 1 KATHLEEN McGOVERN -3.2014Deputy Chiefs · THOMAS B. W. HALL Trial Attorney CLERK US DISTRICT COUR 3 Fraud Section, Criminal Division DISTRICT OF NEVADA DEPUTY U.S. Department of Justice 1400 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530 5  $(202) 6\overline{1}6-1682$ 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 -oOo-8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 2: 14-CR-10- - VCF 10 PLEA MEMORANDUM Plaintiff, 11 12 13 BARRY LEVINSON, 14 Defendant. 15 16 The United States of America, by and through Jeffery H. Knox, Chief, U.S. Department 17 of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, and Kathleen McGovern, Senior Deputy Chief, 18 Charles La Bella, Deputy Chief, and Thomas B.W. Hall, Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of 19 Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section, the defendant, BARRY LEVINSON ("Defendant"), 20 and the defendant's attorney, E. Brent Bryson, submit this plea memorandum. 21 The United States and the defendant have reached the following plea agreement, which is 22 not binding on the court: 23 I.THE PLEA AGREEMENT 24 The Plea 25 Defendant will plead guilty to Count One of the information, charging Defendant 26 with conspiracy to commit wire and mail fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,

1

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

Section 1349; Count Two of the information, charging Defendant with tax evasion in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7201; and Count Three of the information, charging Defendant with wire fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343. Defendant also agrees to pay restitution and to the forfeiture of the property set forth in this Plea Memorandum.

#### Additional Charges B.

2. The United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section agrees to bring no additional criminal charges in the District of Nevada against the defendant relating to or arising from the offenses charged in the information, except for any crime of violence and any crime unknown to the Fraud Section before the time the parties sign this Plea Memorandum. In addition, after Defendant enters his plea of guilty to the Information in this case, the United States will move to dismiss the outstanding charges against Defendant in the case captioned United States v. Benzer, Case No. 2:13-cr-00018-JCM-GWF.

#### C. Sentencing Guideline Calculations

- Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider the United States 3. Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in determining the defendant's sentence. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
  - 4. Sentencing Guidelines:
- The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing a. Guidelines (2013) apply to the conspiracy to commit wire fraud and mail fraud conduct (Count One):

Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)):

1	Loss Amount of \$7,000,000 to \$20,000,000 (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(D)):	20			
2	Sophisticated Means				
3	(U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(9)(c)):	2			
4	Abuse of Position of Trust	2			
5	(U.S.S.G. §3B1.3):				
6	TOTAL	31			
7	b. The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing				
8	Guidelines (2013) apply to tax evasion conduct (Count 2):				
9	Base Offense Level	12			
10	(U.S.S.G. §§2T1.1(a)(1) and 2T4.1(D))	•	1		
11	TOTAL	12	ا داد سده س		
12	c. The parties agree that the following calculations of the United States Sentencing				
13	Guidelines (2013) apply to the wire fraud conduct (Count 3):				
14	Base Offense Level (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(a)):	7			
15			j		
16	Loss Amount of \$200,000-\$400,000 (U.S.S.G. §2B1.1(b)(1)(D)):	12			
17	Abuse of Position of Trust				
18	(U.S.S.G. §3B1.3):	2			
19	TOTAL	,23	ļ		
20	d. The parties agree that pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4,	the appropriate to	otal offense		
21	level is 31.				
22	5. Acceptance of Responsibility: Pursuant to U.S.S.G.	§3E1.1(a), the Uni	ted States		
23	will recommend that the defendant receive a 2-level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility				
24	unless Defendant (a) fails to make a complete factual basis for the guilty plea at the time it is				
25	entered; (b) is untruthful with the Court or probation officers in any respect, including without				
26	limitation, financial information; (c) denies involvement in the offense or provides conflicting				
	statements regarding defendant's involvement; (d) attempts to v	vithdraw the guilt	y plea; (e)		

 engages in criminal conduct; (f) fails to appear in court; or (g) violates the conditions of defendant's pretrial release conditions.

- 6. Pursuant to U.S.S.G. §3E1.1(b), the United States will, in its sole discretion, make a motion for an additional 1-level adjustment for acceptance of responsibility prior to sentencing if the defendant timely notifies the United States of the defendant's intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and allowing for the efficient allocation of resources.
  - Defendant's Criminal History Category will be determined by the court.

# D. Other Sentencing Matters

- 8. The parties agree that the Sentencing Guideline calculations are based on information now known and could change upon investigation by the United States Probation Office. It is possible that factors unknown or unforeseen by the parties to the Plea Memorandum may be considered in determining the offense level, specific offense characteristics, and other related factors. In that event, the defendant will not withdraw his plea of guilty. Both Defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.
- 9. The stipulations in this Plea Memorandum do not bind either the United States Probation Office or the Court. Both Defendant and the United States are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, and (b) correct any and all factual inaccuracies relating to the calculation of the sentence.

# E. <u>Fines and Special Assessment</u>

- Defendant agrees that the Court may impose a fine due and payable immediately upon sentencing.
- 11. Defendant will pay the special assessment of \$100 per count of conviction at the time of sentencing.

## F. Restitution

12. Defendant agrees to make full restitution to the victims of the offense, in this case the Internal Revenue Service and the legal clients described below in Section IV(C). Defendant understands and agrees that this amount could be as much as \$271,646 (\$28,211 (IRS) + \$243,435 (legal clients)). In return for Defendant agreeing to make restitution, the United States agrees not to bring any additional charges against Defendant for the conduct giving rise to the relevant conduct. Defendant understands that any restitution imposed by the Court may not be discharged in whole or in part in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.

#### G. Forfeiture

- 13. The parties agree that the government will not request that the Court require Defendant to pay forfeiture in addition to restitution. However, should the Court nevertheless order that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, the government agrees that such amount shall be the \$271,646. In the event of any order by the Court that Defendant shall pay forfeiture, Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees to the following:
  - a. to abandon or to forfeit the property to the United States;
  - b. to relinquish all right, title, and interest in the property;
- c. to waive his right to any abandonment proceedings, any civil administrative forfeiture proceedings, any civil judicial forfeiture proceedings, or any criminal forfeiture proceedings ("proceedings") of the property;
- d. to waive service of process of any and all documents filed in this action or any proceedings concerning the property arising from the facts and circumstances of this case;
- e. to waive any further notice to Defendant, Defendant's agents, or Defendant's attorney regarding the abandonment or the forfeiture and disposition of the property;
- f. not to file any claim, answer, petition, or other documents in any proceedings concerning the property;
  - g. to waive the statute of limitations, the CAFRA requirements, Fed. R. Crim. P.

7(c)(2), 32.2(a), and 32.2(b)(3), and the constitutional due process requirements of any abandonment proceeding or any forfeiture proceeding concerning the property;

- h. to waive Defendant's right to a jury trial on the forfeiture of the property;
- i. to waive (a) all constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to, (b) any constitutional or statutory double jeopardy defense or claim concerning, and (c) any claim or defense under the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution, including, but not limited to, any claim or defense of excessive fine in any proceedings concerning the property; and
  - j. to the entry of an Order of Forfeiture of the property to the United States.
- 14. Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees and understands the abandonment, the civil administrative forfeiture, the civil judicial forfeiture, or the criminal forfeiture of the property shall not be treated as satisfaction of any assessment, fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty this Court may impose upon Defendant in addition to the abandonment or the forfeiture.

#### F. Waiver of Appeal

- 15. In exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Plea Memorandum, Defendant knowingly and expressly waives the right to appeal any sentence that is imposed within the applicable Sentencing Guideline range as calculated by the Court, further waives the right to appeal the manner in which that sentence was determined on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, and further waives the right to appeal any other aspect of the conviction or sentence, including any order of restitution and forfeiture. Defendant reserves only the right to appeal any portion of the sentence that is an upward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline range calculated by the Court.
- 16. Defendant also waives all collateral challenges, including any claims under 28 U.S.C. § 2255, to Defendant's conviction, sentence and the procedure by which the Court adjudicated guilt and imposed sentence, except non-waivable claims of ineffective assistance of counsel.

### G. Additional Promises, Agreements, and Conditions

- 17. In exchange for the United States entering into this Plea Memorandum, Defendant agrees that (a) the facts set forth in Section IV of this Plea Memorandum shall be admissible against Defendant under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2)(A) in the following circumstances: (i) for any purpose at sentencing; and (ii) in any subsequent proceeding, including a trial in the event Defendant does not plead guilty or withdraws Defendant's guilty plea, to impeach or rebut any evidence, argument or representation offered by or on Defendant's behalf; and (b) Defendant expressly waives any and all rights under Fed. R. Criminal P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410 with regard to the facts set forth in Section IV of the Plea Memorandum to the extent set forth above.
- 18. The parties agree that no promises, agreements, and conditions have been entered into other than those set forth in this plea memorandum, and will not be entered into unless in writing and signed by all parties.

#### H. Limitations

19. This Plea Memorandum is limited to the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice and cannot bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authority. But, this Plea Memorandum does not prohibit the United States through any agency thereof, the Criminal Division of the United States Department of Justice, or any third party from initiating or prosecuting any civil proceeding directly or indirectly involving Defendant, including but not limited to, proceedings under the False Claims Act relating to potential civil monetary liability or by the Internal Revenue Service relating to potential tax liability.

#### I. <u>Cooperation</u>

20. Defendant agrees, if requested by the United States, to provide complete and truthful information and testimony concerning Defendant's knowledge of all other persons who are committing or have committed offenses against the United States or any state, and agrees to cooperate fully with the United States in the investigation and prosecution of such persons. Defendant also agrees to cooperate fully with the State Bar of Nevada ("Nevada Bar") in

connection with its investigation of certain matters relating to funds taken from client trust accounts and client funds and to surrender his license to practice law and to agree to be disbarred from the Nevada Bar. Defendant specifically gives his consent to disbarment related to the Nev. Sup. Ct. R. 102 petition under which Defendant is currently suspended from practicing law (Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 60530, In the Matter of Discipline of Barry Levinson, Esq., Bar No. 6721.).

- 21. In the event the government decides in its sole discretion that the assistance provided by Defendant amounts to "substantial assistance" pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, the United States will timely file a motion for downward departure from the applicable Sentencing Guideline calculation. The Court has the sole discretion to grant such a motion.
- 22. Defendant agrees that a motion for downward departure based on substantial assistance shall not be made under any circumstances unless Defendant's cooperation is deemed to be substantial assistance by the government. The United States has made no promise, implied or otherwise, that Defendant will be granted a departure for substantial assistance. Further, no promise has been made that such a motion will be made even if Defendant complies with the terms of this Plea Memorandum in all respects but has been unable to provide substantial assistance as determined in the sole discretion of the government.
- 23. The United States agrees to consider the totality of the circumstances, including but not limited to, the following factors, in determining whether, in the sole discretion of the government, Defendant has provided substantial assistance which would merit a motion by the United States for a downward departure from the applicable Guideline:
- a. The United States' evaluation of the significance and usefulness of Defendant's assistance;
- b. The truthfulness, completeness, and reliability of any information or testimony provided by Defendant;
  - The nature and extent of Defendant's assistance;

' đ:

- **J**.

Breach

attention of the Government all crimes which Defendant has committed and all administrative, civil, or criminal proceedings, investigations, and prosecutions in which he has been or is a subject, target, party, or witness;

The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and bringing to the

- e. The truthfulness and completeness in disclosing and providing to the Government, upon request, any document, record, or other evidence relating to matters about which the Government or any designated law enforcement agency inquires, including but not limited to, Defendant's personal finances;
- f. Any injury suffered, or any danger or risk of injury to Defendant or
   Defendant's family resulting from defendant's assistance; and,
  - g. The timeliness of Defendant's assistance.
- 24. Defendant agrees that in the event the United States files a downward departure motion based upon Defendant's substantial assistance, the United States reserves the right to make a specific recommendation to the Court regarding the extent of such a departure. Defendant understands that under no circumstances will the United States make a specific recommendation for a custodial sentence of less than 2 years (24 months), and Defendant further understands and agrees that under no circumstances may Defendant or his counsel argue for a sentence of less than 2 years (24 months) based upon cooperation. Defendant understands and agrees that the final decision as to how much of a departure, if any, is warranted rests solely with the Court.
- 25. Defendant agrees that if Defendant, at any time after the signature of this Plea Memorandum and execution of all required certifications by Defendant, Defendant's counsel,
- and for the government, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of Defendant's obligations
- under this Memorandum ("a breach"), the government may declare this Memorandum breached.
- All of Defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this Plea Memorandum is
- sufficient for the government to declare a breach, and Defendant shall not be deemed to have

1

**4** 5

7

6

9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17 18

20 21

19

2223

24

2526

cured a breach without the express agreement of the government in writing. If the government declares this Memorandum breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then:

(a) if Defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this Memorandum, Defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the government will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

#### K. Tax-Specific Provisions

- 26. Defendant agrees that he will sign any IRS forms deemed necessary by the IRS to enable it to make an immediate assessment of that portion of the tax Defendant is ordered to pay as restitution.
  - 27. Defendant also agrees to sign IRS Form 8821, "Tax Information Authorization."
- 28. Defendant agrees not to file any claim for refund of taxes or interest represented by any amount of restitution paid pursuant to this Plea Agreement. The parties understand, however, that Defendant will receive proper credit for any payments made pursuant to this Plea Agreement.
- 29. Defendant understands that he must make restitution payments to the Office of the Clerk of Court, and that those payments will be forwarded to IRS-RACS, Attn: Mail Stop 6261, Restitution, 333 W. Pershing Ave., Kansas City, MO 64108.
- 30. Defendant understands that, pursuant to 26 U.S.C. 6201(a)(4), the IRS may use the restitution order in this case as the basis for a civil tax assessment. Defendant does not have the right to challenge the amount of this assessment. See 26 U.S.C. 6201(a)(4)(C). Neither the existence of a payment schedule in this case nor Defendant's timely payments according to that schedule will preclude the IRS from administrative collection of the restitution based assessment, including levy and distraint under 26 U.S.C. 6331.
- 31. Defendant understands that nothing in this Plea Agreement shall limit the Internal Revenue Service in its lawful examination, determination, assessment, or collection of any taxes, penalties or interest due from Defendant for the time period(s) covered by this Plea Agreement or any other time period. Defendant agrees that this Plea Agreement, or any judgment, order,

release, or satisfaction issued in connection with this Plea Agreement, will not satisfy, settle, or compromise Defendant's obligation to pay the balance of any remaining civil liabilities, including tax, additional tax, additions to tax, interest, and penalties, owed to the Internal Revenue Service for the time period(s) covered by this Plea Agreement or any other time period.

- 32. Defendant agrees to cooperate fully with the IRS in its civil examination, determination, assessment, and collection of income taxes related to his income tax returns, and he further agrees not to conceal, or transfer for no consideration any funds or property that could be used to satisfy any outstanding taxes, penalties, and interest. To that end, Defendant will:
- a. Promptly file any and all outstanding and/or delinquent tax returns that remain unfiled and to pay any taxes, interest, and penalties due and owing as a result of said returns;
- b. Cooperate with the Internal Revenue Service by providing all financial information necessary to ascertain and assess Defendant's back taxes, interest, and penalties;
- c. Provide to the Internal Revenue Service all financial information necessary to determine Defendant's ability to pay;
- d. Make a good faith effort to pay all delinquent and/or additional taxes, interest and penalties, including paying the liability stemming from the offense conduct described herein in a lump sum should the Internal Revenue Service determine he is able to so pay, or entering an installment agreement to pay the liability in total during the period of supervised release or probation; and
- e. Timely file his federal income tax returns during the term of supervised release and refrain from incurring new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of his probation officer unless he is in compliance with any installment payment schedule.

#### II. PENALTY

33. The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, is imprisonment for not more than thirty (30) years, a \$1,000,000 fine, or both. Defendant is also subject to supervised release for a term of not greater than five (5) years.

3

9 10

8

11 12

14

15

13

16

17 18

> 19 20

> 21

22

23

24 25

26

- The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 34. 7201, is a term of imprisonment of not more than five (5) years, a fine of not more than twohundred-fifty-thousand dollars (\$250,000), twice the gross gain or loss resulting from the offense under Title 18 U.S.C. § 3571, or both.
- The maximum penalty for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 35. 1343, is imprisonment for not more than thirty (30) years, a \$1,000,000 fine, or both. Defendant is also subject to supervised release for a term of not greater than five (5) years.
- Supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which 36. Defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if Defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, Defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- Defendant is required to pay for the costs of imprisonment, probation, and 37. supervised release, unless Defendant establishes that Defendant does not have the ability to pay such costs, in which case the court may impose an alternative sanction such as community service.

#### III. ELEMENTS

- The essential elements for the offense of conspiracy to commit wire and mail 38. fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349, are as follows:
- First, from as early as in or about August 2003 through at least in or about a. February 2009, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit mail fraud and wire fraud;
  - Second, the defendant was a party to or a member of that agreement; and, b.
- Third, the defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one c. of its objects and intending to help accomplish it.

26

13

scheme to control various Homeowners' Association (HOA). Boards of Directors so that the

HOA boards would award the handling of construction defect lawsuits and remedial construction

contracts to a law firm and construction company designated by Defendant's co-conspirators.

- 44. The conspirators would identify HOA's which potentially could bring construction defect cases, and once identified would enlist real estate agents to identify condominium units within those HOA communities for purchase.
- 45. Co-conspirators would then enlist individuals as straw purchasers to apply for and complete mortgage loans using their own name and credit for the purchase of properties within the HOA communities on behalf of the beneficial owners. The co-conspirators arranged for the straw purchasers to get the necessary funding for the mortgages by assisting them with the loan applications and closing documents, which included false and fraudulent statements that involved concealing the identity and financial interest of the true beneficial owners of the properties from banks, mortgage companies, HOAs, and bona fide homeowners. The co-conspirator real estate agents arranged for the down payments to be funded by a co-conspirator and arranged for the money to be transferred to the escrow accounts.
- 46. Once the straw purchases were complete, the beneficial owners and coconspirators often found tenants to rent the units. The beneficial owners received the rental payments and continued to pay the mortgages and various expenses associated with the straw purchase.
- 47. Co-conspirators were hired to manage and operate the payments associated with maintaining these straw properties. The co-conspirators called this business of funding these properties the "Bill Pay Program." The co-conspirators involved in running the Bill Pay Program maintained several limited liability companies, at the direction of the co-conspirator construction company owner and others, for the purpose of opening bank accounts and concealing the Bill Pay Program funds. Many of the payments on these properties were wired or caused to be wired from California to Nevada.
- 48. On several occasions, instead of making a straw purchase, the co-conspirators transferred a partial interest in a unit to another co-conspirator for the purpose of making it appear as if the co-conspirator was a bona fide homeowner. The co-conspirator real estate agent

 would assist with the paperwork involved in such transfers and arranged for the completion of the paperwork.

- 49. Many of the straw purchasers and those who acquired a transferred interest in the properties agreed with co-conspirators to run for election to the respective HOA Board of Directors. These co-conspirators were paid or promised cash, checks, or things of value for their participation, all of which resulted in a personal financial benefit to the co-conspirators.
- 50. To ensure the co-conspirators would win the elections, co-conspirators at times employed deceitful tactics, such as creating false phone surveys to gather information about homeowners' voting intentions, using mailing lists to vote on behalf of out-of-town homeowners unlikely to participate in the elections, and submitting fake and forged ballots. Co-conspirators also hired private investigators to uncover negative information on the bona fide candidates in order to create smear campaigns.
- 51. Another tactic the co-conspirators used to rig certain HOA board elections was to prepare forged ballots for out-of-town homeowners and either cause them to be transported or mailed to California and thereafter to have the ballots mailed back to Las Vegas from various locations around California so as to make it appear that the ballots were completed and mailed by bona fide homeowners residing outside Nevada. For instance, on or about April 15, 2008 and on or about April 21, 2008, a co-conspirator mailed ballots from several mail boxes in California back to Nevada in order to assist in the rigging of an election at Park Avenue.
- 52. On several occasions, co-conspirators attempted to create the appearance that the elections were legitimate by hiring "independent" attorneys to run the HOA board elections. These "special election masters" were to: (i) contact the bona fide homeowners to inform them of the election; (ii) mail the bona fide homeowners election ballots and voting instructions; (iii) collect and secure those election ballots returned by mail until the date of the election; and (iv) preside over the HOA board election, including supervising the counting of ballots. However, in truth and fact, the "special election masters" were selected by the co-conspirators and paid in cash, check, or promised things of value, by or on behalf of the co-conspirator construction

8 9

7

11 12

13

10

14 15 16

> 17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

company and its owner, for their assistance in rigging the elections. In particular, the "special election masters" allowed the co-conspirators to access the ballots for the purpose of opening the ballots and pre-counting the votes entered for each candidate to then know the number of fake ballots which needed to be created to ensure the co-conspirator up for election won the seat on the HOA board. These attorneys would run the board election knowing the co-conspirators had access to the ballots and concealed their relationship with the co-conspirators from the bona fide homeowners.

- Specifically, Defendant was hired to represent the Park Avenue HOA. However, 53. Defendant treated a co-conspirator as his client rather than the HOA. In April 2008, several of Defendant's co-conspirator rigged an HOA Board election at the Park Avenue HOA. The legitimate homeowners filed a lawsuit and a special election master was hired for the make-up election. Defendant attempted to bribe this special election master on behalf of his coconspirators.
- Similarly, after a rigged election at the Pebble Creek HOA, the legitimate 54. homeowners filed a recall petition. Defendant was hired as the HOA general counsel at the direction of a co-conspirator. Defendant then took several actions to attempt to deter the recall election, including firing the property management company and filing a lawsuit to stop the recall election. These attempts were unsuccessful, and after the recall election resulted in the recall of all three co-conspirator HOA Board members, Defendant resigned his position at the Pebble Creek HOA.
- Once elected, the straw purchaser board members and those who acquired a 55. transferred interest would meet with the co-conspirators in order to manipulate board votes, including the selection of property managers, contractors, general counsel and attorneys to represent the HOA.
- At times the co-conspirators created and submitted fake bids for "competitors" to 56. make the process appear to be legitimate while ensuring co-conspirators were awarded the contract. Once hired, co-conspirators, including property managers and general counsel, would

then recommend that the HOA board hire the co-conspirator construction company for remediation and construction defect repairs and the co-conspirator law firm to handle the construction defect litigation. In addition, the co-conspirator construction company's initial contract for emergency remediation repairs contained a "right of first refusal" clause to ensure the co-conspirator construction company was awarded the construction repair contracts following the construction defect litigation.

57. This entire process created the appearance of legitimacy since bona fide homeowners believed the elected board members and other third party contractors were, as fiduciaries, acting in their best interest rather than to advance the financial interests of co-conspirators. In fact, participants in the scheme – including the Defendant – were paid or received things of value by or on behalf of their co-conspirators for their assistance in purchasing the properties, obtaining HOA membership status, rigging elections, using their positions to manipulate the HOA's business and to further the goals of the conspiracy, and to enrich the co-conspirators at the expense of the HOA and the bona fide homeowners.

#### B. The Tax Evasion Conduct

- 58. Defendant failed to file business and personal tax returns for the tax years 2005 through 2010, as required by law, and filed a false 2011 tax return on April 15, 2012.
- 59. During the 2009 and 2010 calendar years, Defendant owned and operated his law practice, The Law Offices of Barry Levinson.
- 60. During the 2010 and 2011 calendar years, Defendant owned and operated his law practice, Barry Levinson and Associates.
- 61. During the entire operation of his law practice, Defendant accepted cash as a form of payment.
- 62. Sometime prior to 2009, Defendant began stealing client funds from his law practice.
- 63. During the 2009, 2010, and 2011 calendar years, in the District of Nevada, Defendant earned taxable income in the sum of \$151,810.16, \$132,327.06, and \$103,080.29,

4

7.

11 12

10

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

2122

22

2324

25 26 respectively. Upon that taxable income, there was owing to the United State of America a substantial sum of income tax.

- 64. Defendant failed to make any regular payments on the liability, as required by law, or at any other time. Instead, Defendant did willfully attempt to evade and defeat the income tax due and owing by him to the United States of America for the calendar years 2009, 2010 and 2011 by willfully committing affirmative acts of evasion, including but not limited to each of the following:
- a. Defendant deposited business receipts from his law practice into his personal bank accounts. Defendant then caused personal expenses to be paid with these receipts.
- b. Defendant did not inform his accountant that he diverted business receipts to his personal bank accounts.
- c. Defendant caused personal expenditures to be paid from his business bank account, and did not account for these as part of his income.
- d. Defendant was informed by a prior accountant that cash receipts should be deposited into his business bank accounts. Nevertheless, Defendant failed to deposit these funds into his business bank account, and failed to inform his new accountant of this additional business income.
- e. In 2010, Defendant lied to the Internal Revenue Service when informing the agency that the business he operated was closed and all his employees had been dismissed.
- f. Defendant caused a false 2011 Federal income tax return to be filed by failing to report all income earned in the 2011 tax year.

# C. The Client Embezzlement Conduct

### 1. The Minor Client Conduct

65. Between at least in or about March 2010 and in or about September 2011, Defendant misappropriated funds due to several minor clients as part of personal injury claim settlements. To carry out this scheme, Defendant would represent a minor client as part of a personal injury case. The case would reach the settlement phase and Defendant would file or

cause to be filed a "Petition for Compromise of a Minor's Claim" ("Petition") with the Nevada state District Court. The Court would then order the disbursement of the settlement funds (less attorney's fees and medical bills) from an insurance company to a "blocked" (or interest-bearing trust account) account established for the benefit of the minor. Defendant was obligated to set up blocked accounts for these minors and failed to do so. Defendant instead deposited or caused to be deposited settlement funds into his personal and/or business accounts, where Defendant used them for personal purposes.

66. The following table summarizes nine occasions on which Defendant engaged in this conduct:

Minor Initials	Date of Petition	Total Settlement Amount	Date(s) of Deposit to Defendant's Accounts
T.T.	3/9/10	\$115,000	3/18/10, 3/24/10
S.L.	4/15/10	\$5,000	10/25/10
J.B.	4/15/10	\$1,400	10/25/10
R.H.	10/8/10	\$10,235	10/15/11
D.A.H.	10/8/10	\$10,800	10/25/11
M.M.	8/27/10	\$4,000	10/23/12
M.M.	8/27/10	\$2,500	10/23/12
J.S.	5/24/11	\$13,500	7/1/11
E.T.	9/9/11	\$16,000	10/14/11
TOTAL		\$178,435	

#### 2. The W.W. Conduct

67. W.W. was the president of a company ("W.D."). W.D. was seeking a loan and engaged with a broker to obtain that loan. In connection with engaging the broker, W.D. was required to pay a broker's fee of \$65,000 into escrow with a third party escrow agent. Defendant

was named to serve as the escrow agent for this transaction. On or about July 13, 2012, W.D. transferred \$65,000 via interstate wire transfer to a U.S. Bank account in Defendant's name. On or about July 17, 2012, a cashier's check was drawn on that same U.S. Bank account for \$60,000. Defendant never returned these funds to W.D. or W.W.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

- 68. Defendant acknowledges by Defendant's signature below that Defendant has read this Plea Memorandum, that Defendant understands the terms and conditions and the factual basis set forth herein, that Defendant has discussed these matters with Defendant's attorney, and that the matters set forth in this memorandum, including the facts set forth in Part IV above, are true and correct.
- 69. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant has been advised, and understands, that by entering a plea of guilty Defendant is waiving, that is, giving up, certain rights guaranteed to Defendant by law and by the Constitution of the United States. Specifically, Defendant is giving up:
- a. The right to proceed to trial by jury on the original charges, or to a trial by a judge if Defendant and the United States both agree;
- b. The right to confront the witnesses against Defendant at such a trial, and to cross-examine them;
- c. The right to remain silent at such trial, with such silence not to be used against Defendant in any way;
- d. The right, should Defendant so choose, to testify in Defendant's own behalf at such a trial;
- e. The right to compel witnesses to appear at such a trial, and to testify in Defendant's behalf; and,
  - f. The right to have the assistance of an attorney at all stages of such proceedings.

1	70. Defendant acknowledges that Defendant is, in all respects, satisfied by the				
2	representation provided by Defendant's attorney and that Defendant's attorney has discussed				
3	with Defendant the burdens and benefits of this agreement and the rights he waives herein.				
4	71. Defendant, Defendant's attorney, and the attorney for the United States				
5	acknowledge that this Plea Memorandum contains the entire negotiated and agreed to by and				
6	between the parties, and that no other promise has been made or implied by Defendant,				
7	Defendant's attorney, or the attorney for the United States.				
8					
9					
10	JEFFREY H. KNOX Chief				
11	United States Department of Justice, Criminal Division, Fraud Section				
12					
13	DATED KATHLEEN McGOVERN				
14	Senior Deputy Chief				
15.	CHARLES G. LA BELLA Deputy Chief				
16	THOMAS B.W. HALL Trial Attorney				
17	United States Department of Justice Criminal Division, Fraud Section				
18					
19	TO-1-101				
20	DATED BARRY LEVINSON				
21	Defendant				
22					
23 24	DATED E. BRENT BRYSON				
25	DATED E. BRENT BRYSON Counsel for Defendant Levinson				
26					
<b>4</b> 0					